

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/899,711 07/05/2001 Dana Corbo 4051 7590 06/26/2002 STEPHEN E. FELDMAN, P.C. **EXAMINER** 12 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 COBURN, CORBETT B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3714

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/		
Office Action Summary		09/899,711	CORBO, DANA	GM.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this control of the Period for Reply	ommunication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perio - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply aximum statutory period of d for reply will, by statute months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC accuse the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status	/ \ e1 1					
1) Responsive to communication	_	•				
2a) This action is FINAL .	, —	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in cooling closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims				ne merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending	in the application	1				
4a) Of the above claim(s)						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte						
8) Claim(s) are subject to		r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•				
9)⊠ The specification is objected t	o by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 Jul</u>	<u>y 2001</u> is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)⊠ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correct	tion filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	ne of:					
1. Certified copies of the	priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the	priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
	e International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a) The translation of the fore	eign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)		•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 		5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 3714

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because of the issues noted on the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3714

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Don Best Sports.

Claims 1, 6, 11, 22: Don Best Sports provides sporting event odds and information for sports books in substantially real time. The DBS2K program uses the Internet to provide real time odds and information. Don Best Sports provides a means giving an alert when a predetermined occurrence takes place in connection with the odds. (Line Move Alerts.) Don Best Sports provides a means for logging and tracking bets. (Bet Tracker) It provides a means for display of this information (i.e., computer monitor). There is a means for navigating the display in order to acquire information – see DBS Premium Service sample page. Applicant admits that Don Best Sports allows for the display of odds to be manipulated for more efficient use.

Claims 2, 23: Don Best Sports offers a Line Seeker feature that provides an alert when predetermined odds on a particular game are offered by a sports book.

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 3, 24: Don Best Sports provides a Line Move Feature that provides notification when the odds offered by a sports book change by a predetermined amount within a predetermined amount of time.

Claims 4, 9, 20, 25: The DBS Premium Service sample page shows a menu made up of various buttons and the buttons are equipped with pull down menus.

Claim 7: Don Best Sports Bet Tracker allows the user to enter a bet and bet amount and provides a means for determining whether the bet was won or lost.

Claim 8: Don Best Sports Bet Tracker calculates and logs winnings and losses.

Claim 12: The DBS Premium Service sample page shows the display of casino columns.

These appear to be customizable in that the user can choose to display different casino columns.

Claim 13: The DBS Premium Service sample page shows horizontal and vertical scrolling bars.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 10, 21, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Don Best Sports as applied to claim 1, 6, 11, or 22 above, and further in view of Zinda et al. (US Patent Number 6,393,437).

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 5, 10: Don Best Sports and Internet Explorer® teach the invention substantially as claimed. According to Applicant's disclosure, Don Best Sports is written in Java and not in C++. Java and C++ are extremely well known equivalents. Zinda, a patent concerning web development techniques, teaches that either language may be used to develop web-based applications. (Col 4, 7-11) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the C++ programming language instead of its well know equivalent Java in order to achieve the same functionality.

- 8. Claims 14-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Don Best Sports as applied to claim11 above, and further in view of the Human Factors Design Guide.
 - Claim 14: Don Best Sports teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Don Best Sports does not, however, specifically teach a feature that turns a page every five seconds. The Human Factors Design Guide (page 8-46) states that "if automatically changing data must be read reliably and accurately, the rate of update should not be more than once per second." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have turned the page every five seconds in order to allow the information to be read reliably and accurately.

Claims 15 & 18: Don Best Sports teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Don Best Sports does not, however, specifically teach using a color-coded display. The Human Factors Design Guide (page 8-50) states that color-coding (including highlighting) should be used to direct a user's attention to something. It would have been

Art Unit: 3714

I...:4. 2714

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used colorcoding or highlighting to direct the user's attention to the display of odds.

Page 6

Claim 16: Don Best Sports teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Don Best Sports does not, however, specifically teach moving the casino column by the click and drag method. The Human Factors Design Guide (page 8-62) teaches the click and drag method of moving windows. This allows the user to take advantage of the pointing device (mouse) to move the window. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the click and drag method to move the casino columns in order to allow the user to take advantage of the pointing hardware. Claims 17 & 19: Don Best Sports teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Don Best Sports does not, however, specifically teach resizing the casino columns to a custom setting. The Human Factors Design Guide (page 8-62) teaches allowing the user to resize windows. This allows the user to make the window the size the user prefers – including abbreviating the window to allow more windows to be displayed (i.e., more columns per page). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have allowed the user to resize the casino columns (including abbreviating the column to allow more columns to be displayed per page), so that the user can make the windows suit the user's preferences.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3714

Reference Name	US Patent Number	Applicability	
LaDue	5,999,808	Real time display of odds, bet placement & tracking,	
		screen display and navigation	
Holte	5,713,793	Real time display of odds, bet placement and tracking	
Toy	4,554,418	Monitor information and notify user of changes or	
		when price reaches certain figure.	
Hunt et al.	5,893,091	Monitor odds & notify user of changes or when price	
		reaches certain figure. Can place bets automatically	
Slater	5,613,912	Bet tracking & accounting	

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary Examiner, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

cbc

June 18, 2002

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER